- billing him. And when we sold it, he was billed by
- 2 Fleetcall.
- Okay, so he paid monthly fees to use that system?
- 4 A He was billed monthly fees, Judy.
- 5 Q You don't know whether or not he paid them?
- 6 A I know he didn't.
- 7 Q Okay. He didn't get any free service on that
- 8 system?
- 9 A He didn't pay. He didn't pay.
- 10 Q As far as you were concerned, he was supposed to
- 11 pay for the use --
- 12 A Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q -- of that service? Okay.
- Now, in the 900 system, you stated, I believe,
- that the Sumpters' daughter used the 900 system?
- 16 A She used the license that Norma had applied for
- and obtained. When the 800, Jim Sumpter paid his last bill
- to Fleetcall, and then that's whenever Norma applied for the
- 19 900 license for Jennifer to operate.
- 20 Q Okay. You don't happen to know the -- strike
- 21 that.
- Jim Sumpter didn't have a station or didn't have
- an FCC license back in 1985 through '89, did he?
- MR. ROMNEY: Objection to the form of the
- 25 question; vague and ambiguous.

- 1 JUDGE STEINBERG: I don't think so.
- MR. ROMNEY: Well, an FCC license, a station
- 3 license is an FCC license, but an FCC license can also be
- 4 other things besides a station license. They are not
- 5 interchangeable terms.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Could you rephrase it?
- 7 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 8 Q As far as you know, Jim Sumpter did not have any
- 9 type of FCC station -- license for an FCC station, an
- 10 authorization, did he?
- 11 A If he did for the 800, he had to apply through GE.
- 12 Q Okay, so nothing that you would be aware of?
- 13 A Nothing that we were aware of.
- 14 Q Okay. But back in -- do you know when Norma
- applied for the license on the 900 system?
- 16 A I think, Judy, it's in the documentation. I think
- it was 1989, right after the 800 was -- picked up the last
- 18 bill on that 800.
- 19 Q And did you prepare the application -- that
- 20 application for her?
- 21 A I think through Josie Lynch at NABER at that time,
- I think she worked with myself and Norma.
- 23 Q Do you know the name of the station -- the call
- 24 sign for that license?
- A No, ma'am.

- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A I wouldn't know that off the top of my head.
- 3 Q All right.
- 4 A But it was in some documentation that we did send
- 5 in.
- 6 Q Would you look at Exhibit 42?
- 7 (Pause.)
- 8 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 9 Q Does that -- have you found Exhibit 42?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am, I have.
- 11 Q Okay. Have you seen that before?
- 12 A Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q And can you tell the Court what it is?
- 14 A This is -- this is some, it's not all, of the
- applications that went in for Norma, and it's dated 1990.
- 16 There is -- I think there is even more besides this in '89
- or the last part of '89.
- 18 Q So you don't think this is the first station that
- 19 you were talking about that she had in 1989 through 1990?
- 20 A She actually -- she actually had two; one was in
- 21 Dallas, and then she had to have a modification to move the
- frequency over to Fort Worth because her daughter was using,
- going to college in the Fort Worth area, and the Dallas area
- 24 wasn't reaching it, so she used the Fort Worth because that
- was the longest distance away from home.

- 1 Q Okay.
- A And I think I see somewheres in here where it was,
- 3 it was changed.
- 4 Q Did you prepare Exhibit 42?
- 5 A That or Josie Lynch. I didn't type all that, and
- 6 I think Josie Lynch did out of NABER Coordination back in
- 7 19 -- it actually was applied, I think, in -- early part of
- 8 '90.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: They're not the witness's, no.
- 10 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 11 Q Sorry. Go ahead. Go ahead, Mr. Brasher.
- 12 A And --
- 13 Q Look on page 2 of Exhibit 42.
- 14 A Okay.
- 15 Q You see a letter to Josie Lynch dated June 19,
- 16 1990, that appears to have your signature?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q Did you write that letter?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q Okay. And that letter accompanied page 1 of
- 21 Exhibit 42; is that correct ?
- 22 A There was more pages than page 1, it has to be
- because this wouldn't go in to anybody and be -- be
- processed, and it's a close date. Let's see, it's June, and
- this is close to June 19th. It would be close to it.

- 1 Q Do you recall providing the Commission with the
- documents that have been marked as Exhibit 42?
- 3 MR. ROMNEY: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that
- 4 question. Could I have that repeated, please?
- 5 MS. LANCASTER: I asked if he recalled giving us
- 6 these documents that are in Exhibit 42. I believe they came
- 7 from --
- 8 MR. ROMNEY: In this format?
- 9 MS. LANCASTER: Yeah.
- 10 MR. ROMNEY: He didn't assemble -- excuse me. I'm
- 11 sorry.
- 12 THE WITNESS: If you look down on Exhibit 42, page
- 13 1, it said "Exhibit Norma Sumpter" in my book.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yeah, that's just the little
- 15 sticker that they put on during Norma's deposition.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Oh. there is more pages than this.
- 17 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 18 Q Okay, so this is not the complete application?
- 19 A No, it's not the complete --
- 20 Q But would you have provided the information that
- 21 is shown on page 1 of Exhibit 42? Would you have provided
- 22 that information to help fill out this form?
- 23 A I could have.
- Q Okay.
- A Now, say that again. I'm sorry.

- 1 Q Did you help prepare the form -- Norma, in your
- 2 experience with Norma, Norma wouldn't have known how to fill
- 3 this out, would she?
- 4 A No, she would have to request an application.
- 5 Then it would be sent off to Josie, and Josie would type all
- 6 this up. It would have to be done by her -- by handwriting
- 7 first, and then sent off to Josie, and then Josie would
- 8 finish this up and typing, and then send it back for
- 9 signature and filing.
- 10 Q All right. But it's my understanding that you
- 11 assisted Norma in gathering the information and getting the
- 12 forms prepared.
- 13 A Oh, yes.
- 14 0 Is that correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. But that she paid for this license. She
- paid the processing fees and the FCC filing fees for this;
- 18 is that correct?
- 19 A Norma did on Jim Sumpter's accounting firm checks.
- 20 Q Okay. Now, let's look at Exhibit 43. Are you
- 21 familiar with this exhibit?
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q And page 1 of this exhibit is also a form similar
- to the form that was shown on Exhibit 42.
- Did you help Norma fill in the blanks on this

- 1 form?
- 2 A Yes, I did.
- Q Okay.
- 4 A And this --
- 5 Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A And this is what goes -- went to Josie for her to
- 7 type up or make a formal form. That's only the copy that
- 8 goes in to her because you can see it comes back typed is on
- 9 the other page, or another one like that.
- 10 Q Okay. So this was the work copy basically?
- 11 A Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q Okay. On the top of this copy is a -- it looks
- like somebody put a stickie over the form and it has 05, and
- 14 then it has WNZU648.
- Did you write that on there?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Okay. Page 2 of that exhibit is a letter from you
- 18 to Gary Losee of the NABER Team Central.
- 19 Do you recognize that with your signature?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q And page 3 of Exhibit 43 indicates by copies of
- 22 checks that the fees for this particular license were paid
- 23 by Norma Sumpter?
- A Let me look at the dates. The dates are close.
- Q Is that yes, you believe these checks --

- 1 A Yes, I believe they did --
- 2 0 -- paid for these fees?
- 3 A -- for that.
- 4 Q Okay. Page 5 of Exhibit 43 is a copy of a radio
- 5 station license issued in the name of Norma Sumpter for call
- 6 sign WNZU648.
- 7 Is that the license issued after the application
- 8 shown in Exhibit 43 was sent into the FCC and this license
- 9 was issued as a result?
- 10 A A result of the first part or this last --
- 11 Q A result of the application that was prepared from
- page 1 of Exhibit 43.
- I think you indicated page 1 of Exhibit 43 was
- 14 kind of the working document. You helped her fill in the
- 15 information --
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q -- so that she could apply?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O And page 5 would be the license that was issued as
- 20 a result of submitting that application?
- 21 A Yeah. That's true. What you need to look at,
- Judy, is that that license is, based on a letter -- yes, the
- letter on page 2 matches with the checks and that would have
- 24 accomplished the license that it obtained; yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Page 7 of Exhibit 43 --

- 1 A Page 7.
- and by Norma Sumpter sent to the FCC stating that the
- 4 antenna site is to be moved.
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Would this be the modification that you were
- 7 talking about just a few minutes ago that you thought that
- 8 Norma had had three license; one was a modification -- one
- 9 required a modification? Would this be what you were
- 10 talking about?
- 11 A No, because if you look at the call stations,
- 12 WNZU, and I can't read -- it looks like 648, the station
- that was modified is WPCF910 on page 1 of Exhibit 44.
- 14 Q Okay. So Exhibit 44 would pertain to the third
- 15 station that you were just talking about?
- 16 A The relocation of one of those two stations, yes.
- 17 O Okay. So WPCF910 was the station that had been
- modified. But prior to that Norma had had Station WNSK580,
- is that correct, which would be associated with Exhibit 42?
- 20 A Let's see.
- 21 Q And WNZU648?
- Exhibit 42 has a notation on it that -- WNSK580.
- Do you see that on page 1 of Exhibit 42?
- A I don't know what that is, those markings there.
- Q Look on page 4 of Exhibit 42. It's a very poor

- 1 copy, but it is a radio station license, and I believe, if
- you look at the top on the left-hand side, you can make out
- 3 that the call sign for this station is WNSK580.
- 4 A I can see that.
- Okay. And it's in the name of Norma Sumpter? Do
- 6 you see that, the licensee name?
- 7 A I can see that, yes.
- 8 Q Okay. So the first station that Norma got was
- 9 this WNSK580; is that correct?
- 10 A Without looking at the control numbers up there, I
- 11 really could not tell you what --
- 12 Q Okay. Well, let's look at -- I'm sorry. Let's
- look at the issue date on page 4 of Exhibit 42. Again, the
- copy is really bad, but if you look up on the right top you
- can see a license issue date, I believe, of 9-28-1990.
- Do you see that?
- 17 A I see that.
- 18 Q Exhibit 43 pertains to WNZU648. If you look on
- 19 page 5 of Exhibit 43 --
- 20 A Five of 43.
- 21 O Do you see it?
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Do you see the license issue date at the right top
- of page 5 that indicates that that license was issued by the
- 25 FCC on June 23rd of 1992?

- 1 A Correct.
- Q Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 44, page 1.
- 3 A I'm there.
- 4 Q Okay. That shows a radio station license issued
- 5 to Norma Sumpter for Station WPCF910. And if you look at
- 6 the top right-hand side of the page, it indicates that that
- 7 license was issued on May 27th of 1993.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A Correct, but that's the license that was modified
- 10 from license WNZU648.
- 11 Q Okay. So WPCF is a modification of WNZU648?
- 12 A Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A And why they changed the call signs, I do not
- 15 know.
- 16 O WPJR739 is a license that was issued in 1996 in
- the name of Norma Sumpter; is that correct?
- 18 A What number is --
- 19 Q I'm trying to find the exhibit. That's what I --
- 20 I'm looking.
- 21 (Pause.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: How about 41?
- MS. LANCASTER: That's the application would be
- 41. Let's see, maybe it's included.
- 25 (Pause.)

1	BY MS. LANCASTER:		
2	Q If you can look at Exhibit 41, and tell me if		
3	that's the application that you submitted on behalf of Norma		
4	Sumpter in June of 1996, to obtain a license in her name.		
5	A State that question?		
6	Q Would you turn to Exhibit 41?		
7	Have you found 41?		
8	A Yes, ma'am.		
9	Q Okay. Do you recognize the documents contained in		
10	Exhibit 41?		
11	A That's her T-band not 900. That's her T-band		
12	application.		
13	Q Okay. That was the application that you submitted		
14	on her behalf in June of 1996; is that correct?		
15	A Correct.		
16	Q Okay. And a license for call signs WPJR739 was		
17	issued as a result of submission of that application?		
18	A I do not know that. I don't see anything that		
19	says that on I don't have a copy		
20	Q Turn to page 45.		
21	A Page 45?		

25 Found it?

Q

Α

Q

22

23

24

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Exhibit 45. I'm sorry. Not page 45.

Sure. Page 14 of Exhibit 45.

Shall I keep this other one open while I --

- 1 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. Do you recognize that as being the license
- issued to Norma Sumpter for call sign WPJR739 and the
- 4 license issue date being 9-25-1996?
- 5 A Correct.
- Q As far as you know have there been any other FCC
- 7 licenses issued in the name of Norma Sumpter?
- 8 A Besides the 900 and this one here?
- 9 Q The four that we've talked about, WNSK580,
- 10 WNZU648, which you said modified and changed to become
- 11 WPCF910, and then this WPJR739, are those all of the
- 12 licenses that have been put in the name of Norma Sumpter?
- 13 A As far as I know.
- 14 Q Okay. And you helped Norma prepare all of the
- applications for all of these licenses; is that correct?
- 16 A Helped on the 900. All was furnished to John
- 17 Black with the addresses and everything there. He finished
- up all the detail in preparing the license for the 900 -- I
- 19 mean, the T-band.
- The 800, not 800, but the 900 was performed by
- 21 Josie Lynch.
- 22 Q Okay. But you helped gather the information,
- whatever types of information were needed, and helped her
- 24 put the information in the correct form so that the working
- copy was then sent to the person who processed the

- 1 application and put it in final form.
- Would that be a correct statement?
- A It would be correct on the 900. The T-band, all
- 4 that was furnished was just name and address.
- 5 Q Okay, the T-band is the last one?
- 6 A Last one.
- 7 Q And John Black supplied all of the information?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q He already had the other information?
- 10 A The information and everything, yes.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay, but he had obtained that information earlier
- 14 from you because of your licenses?
- 15 A Not necessarily from me; from previous customers
- 16 he has up there. At the time I didn't even know, except for
- 0. C.'s -- what the license location was. I take it back.
- 18 Nine hundred, I had a 900 up there, and he used that as a
- 19 criteria there for location.
- See, there is location of the tower site. There
- 21 is direct like off of Highway 154, northwest 100 feet.
- 22 Thing like that only people who do the licensing would know
- exact -- what the address is for.
- Q Okay.
- A And the tower heights and tower emissions and all

- like that, only the people that did the license would know.
- We would not know that.
- 3 Q Okay. You forwarded -- I'm sorry.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: With respect to WPJR739, which
- 5 is Exhibit --
- 6 THE WITNESS: Forty-five?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- 45, you gave John Black
- 8 Norma's name and address thought, right?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes, sir.
- 10 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 11 Q And you forwarded the information necessary to
- 12 complete the other -- all of the applications. You
- 13 basically -- whatever the information that the application
- 14 processor needed you in fact forwarded it to them; is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A The name and address only because the stuff was
- 17 already --
- 18 Q For John Black, it was the name and address.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O But for the other ones, you helped gather up the
- 21 information --
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q -- and put it in the form and you sent it to the
- 24 processor; is that correct?
- 25 A Either I did or Norma did send it off to the

1 processor. 2 0 Okay. 3 Α Yes. Did Norma pay all of the fees associated with 4 Q 5 obtaining the license for WNSK580? 6 Α What --That's the first one --7 0 8 Α Forty-one. -- that was issued on 9-28-90. 9 0 JUDGE STEINBERG: Forty-two. 10 11 MR. ROMNEY: Forty-two. 12 THE WITNESS: Forty-two. There is a -- there is checks there to FCC and 13 14 NABER written on -- by Norma Sumpter to -- on Jim Sumpter's accounting firm checks. 15 BY MS. LANCASTER: 16 DLB didn't pay to get that license issued 17 or anything, did they? 18 Oh, no, she sent -- no, this was sent in by Norma. 19 Okay. Did you reimburse any monies to her as 20 payment of those fees? 21 22 I never -- I never -- no. 23 0 Okay. 24 Α Not that I remember. No, I did not. 25 0 Okay. How about WNZU648, which was issued on

- 1 6-23-92.
- 2 A Is that No. 43?
- 3 MR. ROMNEY: Yes.
- 4 MS. LANCASTER: Yes, I believe it is. I hear a
- 5 "yes" out there.
- 6 MR. ROMNEY: That's right.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I have to get to that.
- Jim Sumpter -- the check is, again, by Norma over
- 9 Jim Sumpter's accounting firm.
- 10 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 11 Q So they paid the fees, Sumpters paid the fees
- 12 associated with obtaining that license?
- 13 A Yes. It indicates to me that, yes, they did.
- 14 Q Okay. Did DLB or Metroplex reimburse any monies
- 15 to them for those fees?
- 16 A Not that I know of.
- 17 Q Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did you or Pat or David or Diane
- 19 personally?
- THE WITNESS: I would not.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You didn't?
- 22 THE WITNESS: No, and I'm --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, you can only speak for
- 24 yourself.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, sir.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: So your objection, Mr. Romney,
- is sustained, the one you are thinking of in your head.
- 3 (Laughter.)
- 4 MR. ROMNEY: What am I thinking now?
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 MS. LANCASTER: Stop that.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I wouldn't put it on the record.
- 8 MR. ROMNEY: Okay.
- 9 (Laughter.)
- 10 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 11 Q WPCF910, which was issued 5-27-93, which is -- let
- 12 me find it again?
- MR. ROMNEY: Nine 10.
- 14 MS. LANCASTER: Yes. Exhibit 44, I believe.
- 15 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 16 Q And ask you the same question. Who paid the fees
- 17 associated with obtaining that license?
- 18 A I'm going to have to assume Norma did, but I don't
- 19 see a copy of checks. So I'd have to say I would not know
- 20 on that one.
- 21 And that was a modification, as you know.
- 22 Q Correct, I understand that you are saying that
- that was basically a modification that was done to WNZU648.
- 24 A Correct.
- Do you -- maybe I shouldn't say.

- 1 Q Go ahead.
- 2 A Do you understand what a modification did, Judy?
- 3 This was an interconnect telephone in Melissa and Jennifer's
- 4 car. They were worried about her traveling that far from
- 5 home. Interconnect in Forth Worth, all they had to do was
- 6 call her on the public phone and could be able to talk to
- 7 her. She gets closer in Dallas, the ability to use a phone
- 8 becomes less and less. But that removed the danger of her
- 9 being away from home and not being able to be reached.
- 10 Q Now, is this a license that was issued in order to
- put a phone in, or put a radio in Jennifer's vehicle?
- 12 A Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q Or was it -- did that happen --
- 14 JUDGE STEINBERG: He answered yes.
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: And then start another question
- 17 because I don't want to get too confused.
- 18 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 19 O Do you recall the date that the radio was
- 20 installed in Jennifer's vehicle?
- 21 A Around 1990, I believe.
- 22 O Since this license for WPCF910, as modified, was
- not issued until 5-27-93, would the frequency used for the
- car phone for Jennifer have come under one of the prior
- licenses that Norma had obtained?

- 1 A Could the phone operate on the other license?
- 2 O Yes, sir.
- 3 A Yes. And there may be another removal and another
- 4 install on upgrade phones, Judy, that -- you know, there
- 5 could have been some changing of phone equipment. But it
- 6 would do the same thing. It's probably the same phone, just
- 7 changing cars or something like that.
- 8 Q The license for WNSK580, which is Exhibit 42.
- 9 A Forty-two?
- 10 Q Mm-hmm. Was issued 9-28-90, and did not expire
- 11 until 9-28-95.
- Do you know what happened to that license?
- 13 A No, ma'am, because I -- our 900 system were fully
- 14 loaded. If she -- she probably kept using that same one
- phone all the way through with a telephone interconnect in
- 16 Fort Worth and this license probably just died, died itself.
- 17 But I --
- 18 Q Look at page -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 19 A But again, if you turn over to 580, she put on
- 20 there a station operation number. She turned in an 800A at
- 21 that time to have a license cancelled.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and you're looking at
- 23 Exhibit 42, page 5?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 25 BY MS. LANCASTER:

- 1 Q So based upon the fact that Norma -- strike that.
- 2 Looking at page 5 of Exhibit 42, it indicates that
- 3 the station was not operational as of -- at least as of 6-9-
- 4 91.
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Do you know if that station was ever operational?
- 7 A I would assume it was because she used it with her
- 8 phone system for nearly a year.
- 9 Q Would this have been a GE station?
- 10 A This would be --
- 11 Q Or was this -- I'm sorry?
- 12 A No, this would have been a LTR system.
- 13 Q Was this a station that was managed by DLB and/or
- 14 Metroplex?
- 15 A Correct. The repeater was.
- This is a user license itself.
- 17 Q So would you know, if it was managed by DLB and/or
- 18 Metroplex, would you know whether or not the station was
- 19 ever operational?
- 20 A The frequency?
- MR. ROMNEY: Objection to the form of the
- 22 question, Your Honor; vague and ambiguous, misleading.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I think Mr. Brasher testified
- 24 that it was operational because she used the phone for about
- 25 a year.

- MR. ROMNEY: Well, my confusion, Your Honor, and I
- 2 believe the confusion that's being put on the record
- 3 pertains to what kind of a license this is. As was just
- 4 testified finally, after her questions --
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: It's a user's license.
- 6 MR. ROMNEY: It's a user license, right. It's a
- 7 user's license for a phone. It's not a station. And I
- 8 think that she is -- it's not a station at least as a
- 9 community repeater station, and I think that's not
- 10 particularly clear by the phrasing of this questions that's
- 11 before Your Honor right now.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, why don't you try again?
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 14 Q Do you know if Norma or any of the Sumpters
- actually used the station or the license for WNSK580?
- 16 Do you understand my question?
- 17 A She had a 900 interconnect phone in her car,
- 18 Jennifer did.
- 19 Q And would she have had to have been using this
- user license in order to use the phone?
- 21 A I wouldn't know that answer.
- Q Okay.
- 23 A Sorry.
- Q How about WNZU648?
- 25 A Is that No. 43?

- 1 Q Yes, sir.
- Was this a user license also?
- A For base and nine -- it was a user license for her
- 4 with a potential of doing other things if they -- if they so
- 5 desired.
- 6 Q Did DLB and/or Metroplex manage that license in
- 7 any way or that station in any way?
- 8 MR. ROMNEY: Objection, Your Honor, to the form of
- 9 the question; vague and ambiguous, misleading.
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: Overruled.
- 11 (Witness reviews document.)
- 12 THE WITNESS: Judy, we -- let's go through 43 one
- more time with me. Do you mind? That may show you some
- inconsistency with what's in 43 that --
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, why don't we -- if you
- don't know the answer to the question, just say you don't
- 17 know, but I'm not hearing that Ms. Lancaster wants you to go
- 18 through Exhibit 43 again.
- MS. LANCASTER: No, sir.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 21 Q Can you just answer my question, if you are able
- 22 to answer it?
- 23 A I don't know.
- Q Okay. Do you know if the Sumpters paid fees to
- anyone for the use of -- you know, monthly fees, user fees -

- for the use of call sign WNZU648, which is Exhibit 43, for
- 2 that license?
- 3 A I do not know that.
- 4 Q Do you know if DLB and/or Metroplex had any
- 5 association with that station, WNZU648?
- A I don't know how to answer that question. I don't
- 7 know.
- 8 Q You didn't manage that station, did you?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did you use it --
- 12 THE WITNESS: No.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- in any way in your business?
- 14 THE WITNESS: No.
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Did not use it in our business.
- 17 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 18 Q Do you have any personal knowledge whether or not
- 19 the phone that you said was put in Jennifer's car would have
- used the frequency provided under call sign WNZU648?
- 21 A I would not know that.
- Q How about WPCF910, which is Exhibit 44? Did DLB
- and/or Metroplex manage Station WPCF910?
- 24 A I would not know that either.
- Q Did DLB and/or Metroplex use the frequencies

1	assigned	to WPCF910?
2	A	Our system our 900s with our without without
3	this lice	nse was fully capacity loaded.
4		JUDGE STEINBERG: So you didn't use it?
5		THE WITNESS: We did not use it.
6		MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
7		BY MS. LANCASTER:
8	Q	Did DLB and/or Metroplex collect any fees
9	associate	d with WPCF910?
10	А	No.
11	Q	Okay. Did you provide any type did DLB and/or
12	Metroplex	provide any service to the Sumpters when they used
13	the licen	se for WPCF910?
14	А	We never used Jennifer used the interconnect.
15	We provid	ed the radio for them with a bill for the radio.
16	Q	So you billed them for the radio?
17	А	We billed them for the radio.
18	Q	Okay.
19		JUDGE STEINBERG: Did they pay that one?
20		THE WITNESS: No, sir.
21		BY MS. LANCASTER:
22	Q	Do you remember how much that bill was for?
23	A	\$1400, approximately.

Not off the top of my head, no.

24

25

Q

Α

Do you know when that bill was sent to them?

- Okay, let's move on to WPJR739. The application
- was -- let me find the exhibit again. Sorry.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: The application was in Exhibit
- 4 41.
- 5 MS. LANCASTER: It was 41, I believe.
- 6 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 7 Q On page 2 of Exhibit 41 is a copy of a check drawn
- 8 on the Brasher account and payable to the FCC for \$75 that
- 9 purports to have the -- to have the signature of Patricia
- 10 Brasher as payor, and has a notation that just says "Norma
- 11 Sumpter."
- 12 Is this the check that accompanied the application
- shown in Exhibit 41? Is this the check you sent to the FCC?
- 14 A I'd have to assume it was.
- 15 Q Did you sign that check or did Patricia sign that
- 16 check?
- 17 A I did not sign it.
- 18 Q Okay. If Patricia signed -- how about did you
- 19 write the notation "Norma Sumpter" or did Patricia do that?
- 20 A I do not know. I did not.
- 21 Q Okay. Does that look like Patricia's writing?
- 22 A With people who can write like that, I'm not going
- 23 to swear to whose that signature is.
- Q Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that's
- 25 not Patricia's signature?